Chimia 46 (1992) 399–402 © Neue Schweizerische Chemische Gesellschaft ISSN 0009–4293

Synthesis of Cyclic α-Diones, a Class of Natural Flavor Compounds [1]

Hannes Wild [2], Ulrich Huber, and Georg Fráter*

Abstract. A practical and generally applicable synthesis of the important flavor ingredients corylone (5) and its alkyl homologues 11, 13, 15, and 16 is described, based on alkylation of methyl 4-methoxyacetoacetate (6) with an α -chloro-ketone and subsequent cyclization, hydrolysis, and decarboxylation. The one-pot transformation of methyl 4-chloroacetoacetate to 17 through four consecutive steps is demonstrated. The principle has also been used to prepare the six-membered ring analogues 23 and 24.

reviewed in [7]. Considering preparative routes to a compound like **5**, it has to be borne in mind, that economical and technical feasibility are the important conditions.

Results

The new synthesis of corylone (5) is depicted in *Scheme 2*. Alkylation of methyl 4-methoxyacetoacetate (6), a cheap derivative of diketene, with chloroacetone under mild conditions gave a nearly quantitative yield of the required 1,4-dione 7, which under slightly basic conditions furnished the enol ether 8. Hydrolysis with aq. HCl led to 5 in >60% overall yield.

This basic concept as shown in *Scheme* 2 is ideally suited for the preparation of the homologues of 5, e.g. 11, 13, 15, and 16 (*Schemes 3* and 4).

8

Introduction

Cyclic 1,2-diones are an essential class of flavor ingredients. This is apparent, when one thinks of the high impact of chemicals such as *Furaneol*[®] (1) [3], homofuronol (2) [4], maltol (3) [5], 3-hydroxy-4,5-dimethyl-2(5*H*)-furanone (4; sotolon in the Japanese literature) [6] and 2-hydroxy-3-methylcyclopent-2-en-1-one (5, called corylone or cyclotene) [7] to name only the most important representatives (*Scheme 1*).

In this paper, we describe a novel synthetic approach [8][9] to corylone (5) and its alkyl and also its cyclohexane homologues. Corylone (5) has first been isolated from the dry distillate of beechwood [10] [11], found later in roasted coffee aroma [12] and in maple syrup [13]. The alkyl homologues of 5 have been detected in roasted coffee aroma [14][15], in tobacco smoke [16], and in the spent liquor from kraft pulping of pine wood [17].

The six-membered ring analogue of 5, 2-hydroxy-3-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one (23) (see *Scheme 5*) has also been found in coffee aroma [13] [14] and together with a number of its alkyl homologues in tobacco smoke [18].

Corylone (5) and its homologues appear to be nonenzymatically formed browning reaction products of degradation products of sugars [15][19]. The synthetic routes to 5 and to some higher substituted cyclopentane-diones have been

*Correspondence: Dr. G. Fráter Givaudan-Roure Research Ltd. CH-8600 Dübendorf



Alkylation of the same starting material 6 now with 3-chlorobutan-2-one led to the diastereoisomeric mixture 9, which upon treatment with aq. Na_2CO_3 at reflux was transformed to the methyl enol ether 10. Hydrolysis of the latter finally furnished 4-methylcorylone (11).

On the other hand, the β -ketoester unit in 9 can be exploited to introduce an other alkyl group under mild conditions.

Scheme 3

Thus alkylation of 9 with MeI (MeOH, MeONa) furnished directly the methylated and ring-closed product 12, which was hydrolyzed and decarboxylated to cis,trans-4,5-dimethylcorylone 13 in 45% yield from 9 (*Scheme 3*).

The synthesis of a 5-substituted corylone, the 5-ethyl derivative **15** and **16**, is shown in *Scheme 4*. Alkylation of **7** with ethyliodide using sodium methylate as



base led directly to the cyclic intermediate 14, which was hydrolyzed and decarboxylated to a 2:1 mixture of the enols 15 and 16.

Generally, it is advantageous to carry out the reaction sequence in one pot without isolation of the intermediates. This is demonstrated by the one-pot preparation of the enol ether 17 (Scheme 4) from methyl4-chloroacetoacetate by subsequent addition of the reagents a) MeONa, b) chloroacetone, c) EtI, d) H₂O in 61% overall yield (see Exper. Part).

Finally, the procedure was applied for the synthesis of the six-membered ring analogues 23 and 24 (*Scheme 5*). The ring enlargement or chain lengthening, respectively, was brought about by *Michael* addition of the acetoacetates 6 and 18 to methyl vinyl ketone to give 19 or 20, which were cyclized to the corresponding methyl enol ethers 21 [20] [21] and 22. Hydrolysis of the latter furnished eventually the cyclohexane- α -diones 23 and 24.

Discussion

Corylone (5) and its alkyl homologues 11, 13, 15, and 16 are the more important flavor compounds in comparison with the six-membered ring analogues. Although there are several reasonable syntheses for 5 [7][22][23], they are not easily or not at all applicable for the preparation of the higher homologues like 11, 13, 15, and 16. As shown in *Schemes* 2–4, the described method is well suited for a general synthesis of all the differently substituted derivatives of 5.

Sensoric measurements of the described compounds revealed large differences in strength and also in odor and



taste. The taste threshold values differ by a factor of *ca.* 10^4 (measured in H₂O); 5: $6.1 \cdot 10^4$ ppb, 11: 17 ppb, 13: 570 ppb, 15, 16: 5 ppb, 23: 87 ppb. 5 has a burnt sugar, nutty, maple-like character, whereas 11 shows beside the burnt sugar quality a roasty and spicy tonality; 13 is caramel and maltol-like, and the very powerful mixture of 15 and 16 has a caramel, burnt, and bread-like property. The cyclohexane analogues 23 and 24 are phenolic, salicylaldehyde-like, 23 having an astringent and 24 a slightly medicinal and corylonelike taste.

The skillful experimental work of Miss A. *Tobler* and Mr. H. Koch is gratefully acknowledged.

Experimental Part

General. M.p. were measured in open capillary tubes and are uncorrected. IR spectra: *Perkin Elmer 781* spectrophotometer: in cm⁻¹. NMR Spectra: if not otherwise mentioned 60 MHz on a *Varian EM 360*; the 400 MHz on *Brucker AM 400* with TMS as internal standard; chemical shifts (δ) in ppm. MS: *Varian MAT CH-5* instrument, 70 eV, relative peak intensities in % of the base peak (= 100%).

Methyl 2-(Methoxyacetyl)-4-oxopentanoate (7). To the soln. (suspension) of 146.1 g (1 mol) of methyl 4-methoxyacetoacetate, 165.8 g (1.2 mol) of K2CO3 and 4.6 g (0.02 mol) of (benzyl)-(triethyl)ammonium chloride (TEBA) in 500 ml of MeCN 138.9 g (1.5 mol) of chloroacetone were added slowly at 5-15°. The mixture was stirred at ambient temp. during 7 h, then it was poured in a 10% NaH₂PO₄ soln. and worked up with AcOEt as usual. After evaporation of the solvent on the rotavap and additional drying at 0.1 mm a crude yield of 206.3 g (102%) was isolated. Distillation (120-122°/0.3 Torr) furnished 141.4g(70%) of 7. IR (film): 3620(weak), 3430 (weak), 1750, 1720. NMR: 4.36 (s, CH₂O); 4.3-4.1 (dd, H-C(2)); 3.82, 3.53 (2s, 2 MeO); 3.34-3.03 (m, 2 H-C(3)); 2.25 (s, CH₃CO).

2-Methoxy-3-methylcyclopent-2-en-1-one (8). 140 g (0.69 mol) of 7 were dissolved in 2 l of aq. 2.5% Na₂CO₃ soln. and refluxed during 3 h. The reaction mixture was worked up with CH₂Cl₂ and gave after distillation (82–84°/20 Torr) 55.6 g (64%) of 8. IR (film): 1705, 1650. NMR: 3.88 (s, MeO); 2.4 (s, CH₃–C(3)); 2.0 (s, 2H–C(4), 2 H–C(5)). MS: 126 (100, M^+), 111 (17), 97 (30), 83 (48), 67 (35), 55 (100).

2-Hydroxy-3-methylcyclopent-2-en-1-one (5). 55 g (0.43 mol) of **8** were refluxed in 1.51 of 5N HCl during 5 h, then it was worked up with CH₂Cl₂. It furnished 50 g of crude yellow-brown crystalls. Recrystallization from aceton/H₂O 1:1 gave 42 g (87%) of slightly beige crystalls. M.p. 101–102°. IR (CHCl₃): 3500, 3325, 1715, 1660. NMR: 5.9 (br. OH), 2.48 (s, CH₃–C(3)); 2.03 (s, 2CH₂).

Methyl 2-(Methoxyacetyl)-3-methyl-4-oxopentanoate (9). The mixture of 146 g (1 mol) of methyl 4-methoxyacetoacetate, 133 g (1.25 mol)



of 3-chlorobutan-2-one, $166 g (1.2 \text{ mol}) \text{ of } \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$, 8.5 g (0.05 mol) of KI, 6.4 g (0.02 mol) of Bu_4NBr in 1.5 1 of MeCN was stirred at 50° during 7 h, then MeCN was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was taken up in CH₂Cl₂ and worked up as usual. Finally, 180 g (83.3%) of **9** were distilled at 110° and 0.03 Torr. Purity 94% (GLC). In the crude before distillation *ca*. 5% of the α, α -dialkylated product were detected. IR (film): 1750, 1720. NMR: 4.2 (*s*, CH₂O); 3.75 (*s*, MeO); 3.4 (*s*, MeO); 2.2 (*s*, MeCO); 1.15, 1.1 (2*d*, CH₃-C(3)). MS: 216 (1, *M*⁺), 185 (3), 171 (50), 139 (63), 111 (20), 69 (31), 43 (100).

2-Methoxy-3,4-dimethylcyclopent-2-en-1one (10). 43.2 g (0.2 mol) of 9, 10.6 g (0.1 mol) of Na₂CO₃ in 420 ml of H₂O refluxed during 2.5 h. Normal workup gave 21.6 g (77%) of crude 10. Purity 97% (GLC). IR (film): 1710, 1645. NMR: 3.9 (s, MeO); 2.9–2.4 (m, 2 H); 1.95 (s, CH₃– C(3)); 2.2–1.8 (m, 1 H); 1.2 (d, CH₃–C(4)). MS: 140 (95, M^+), 125 (95), 112 (30), 97 (100), 82 (41), 67 (34), 55 (37), 41 (100).

2-Hydroxy-3,4-dimethylcyclopent-2-en-1one (11). 21.6 g of crude 10 were refluxed in 216 g of 5N HCl during 2 h. The resulting dark brown reaction mixture was worked up with Et,O, and the isolated 14 g (72%) of crude 11 were recrystallized from Et₂O/hexane 1:1 and gave 11 g (56.6%) 11 of slightly beige crystalls. M.p. 65-67°. IR (CHCl₂): 3500, 3300, 1715, 1660. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz): (br., OH), 2.7 (H-C(4), part of the ABC multiplett), 2.66 (m, H_{trans} -C(5)); 2.0 (m, H_{cis} -C(5)); 1.97 (narrow m, CH₃-C(3)); 1.17 $(d, CH_3-C(4))$. Double resonance: $\downarrow CH_3-C(4)$ at 1.17 \rightarrow change at 2.7 (H–C (4)). Diff. NOE: \downarrow $CH_2-C(4)$ at 1.17 \rightarrow NOE observed at 2.7 (H-C(4), 2.0 (H_{cis} -C(5)) and 1.97 (CH_3 -C(3)). Spin simulation (PANIC) of the ABC system: J(5,5') =-19.8, $J(4,5_{trans}) = 6.4$, $J(4,5_{cis}) = 1.06$ Hz. ¹³C-NMR: 202.5 (s, C=O); 149.37, 148.65 (2s, C(2)) and C(3)); 40.7 (t, C(5)); 33.04 (d, C(4)); 19.02 (q, CH₃-C(4)); 11.9 (q, CH₃-C(3)). MS: 126 (36, *M*⁺), 111 (26), 98 (16), 83 (36), 69 (13), 55 (54), 43 (100).

Methyl 3-Methoxy-1,4,5-trimethyl-2-oxocyclopent-3-ene-1-carboxylate (12). 216 g (1 mol) of 9 were dissolved in 1 l of methanolic soln. of 25.3 g (1.1 mol) of Na, warmed to reflux, and treated slowly (1 h) with 213 g (1.5 mol) of MeI. The clear soln. was stirred further at reflux for 4 h, then most of the MeOH was evaporated and the mixture poured on 600 ml of cold 2N HCl and worked up as usual. 214 g (101%) of crude 12 were isolated; purity ca. 90% of two diastereoisomers in 1:2 ratio. IR (film): 1740, 1705, 1650. NMR: 3.95 (s, MeO); 3.7 (s, MeO); 3.2–2.3 (m, H–C(5)); 2.05, 1.95 (2s, CH₃–C(4)); 1.4 (s, CH₃– C(1)); 1.3, 1.1 (2d, CH₃–C(5)). MS: 212 (25, M^+), 181 (5), 169 (9), 152 (37), 109 (10), 43 (100).

2-Hydroxy-3,4,5-trimethylcyclopent-2-en-1one (13). 214 g of crude 12 were refluxed in 2.1 l of 5N HCl during 3 h, then the brownish black mixture was cooled to r.t. and extracted with Et₂O. The Et₂O phase was washed twice with a soln. of thiosulfate in H₂O to remove the iodine, formed from excess Mel. After the usual workup, 94.8 g (67%) of crude 13 were isolated; yellowish brown crystalls, which were distilled through a Widmer column (67-72°/0.4 Torr). Yield: 62 g (44.3%). M.p. 55-57°. trans-13/cis-13 6.5:1. IR (CHCl₃): 3500, 3300, 1710, 1660. NMR: 6.9 (s, OH); 1.98 (s, CH₃-C(3)); 1.15 (d, CH₃-C(4), CH₃-C(5)); 2.9-1.7 (*m*, H-C(4), H-C(5)). MS: 140 (83, M⁺), 125 (95), 112 (20), 97 (100), 83 (33), 79 (26), 69 (30), 55 (69), 43 (91).

Methyl 1-Ethyl-3-methoxy-4-methyl-2-oxocyclopent-3-ene-1-carboxylate (14), 5-ethyl-2-hydroxy-3-methylcyclopent-2-en-1-one (15), and 3ethyl-2-hydroxy-5-methylcyclopent-2-en-1-one (16). 70.6 g (0.35 mol) of 7 were dissolved in 385 ml of a 1M methanolic CH₃ONa soln. and treated at reflux (65°) slowly (45 min) with 65.5 g (0.42 mol) of Etl. The reddish brown mixture was stirred at reflux during 4 h, then MeOH was distilled off at reduced pressure, and the residue was worked up with cold 4N HCl and AcOEt. The isolated crude product 14 (*ca.* 90 g) was refluxed in 740 g of a 5N HCl soln. during 2.5 h. After workup with CH₂Cl₂, 31.5 g (45.6%) of the crude mixture of 15 and 16 were isolated. Distillation through a *Widmer* column gave 21.47 g (31.1%) of 15 and 16 (65–75°/0.1 Torr). Characterization vide infra.

5-Ethyl-2-methoxy-3-methylcyclopent-2-en-I-one (17; the one-pot version from methyl 4chloroacetoacetate). To the suspension of 48.6 g (0.9 mol) of MeONa in 450 ml of THF 45.2 g (0.3 mol) of methyl 4-chloroacetoacetate in 50 ml of THF were added. The strongly exothermic reaction is held at 45-50° by means of ice-cooling, and stirring is continued for 90 min. Then, 42 g (0.3 mol) of K_2CO_3 , 1.0 g of NaI and 39 g (42 mol) of chloroacetone in 100 ml of THF were added within 10 min at 50-55°, and the mixture was further stirred for 30 min. To the above crude soln. of 7 66 g (0.42 mol) of EtI were added, and the mixture was refluxed for 24 h, after 12 h a further 42 g (0.3 mol) of K_2CO_3 having been added. At this stage, the GLC shows the presence of 71% of 14. Now, most of the solvent is distilled off (ca. 600 ml), and after addition of 360 ml of H₂O the reddish brown clear soln. is refluxed for 24 h (91-94°). After the usual workup, 37.9 g (82%) of crude 17 were isolated, which after distillation (96-103°/20 Torr) furnished 28.3 g (61% of pure 17. IR (film): 1705, 1650. NMR: 3.9 (s, MeO); 2.0 (s, CH₃-C(3)); 3.0-1.1 (m, 5 H); 0.92 (t, -CH₂-CH₃). MS: 154 (60, M⁺), 139 (8), 126 (77), 111 (36), 94 (100), 79 (17), 67 (24), 55 (61), 41 (75).

15 and **16** from **17**: 27 g (0.17 mol) of **17** were refluxed in 135 ml of 5N HCl for 1 h. Usual workup gave 23.7 g (96%) of crude product, which after distillation (87–89°/0.7 Torr) furnished 21.4 g (87.4%) of pure (95%) 2:1 mixture of 15 and **16**. IR (CHCl₃): 3500, 3320, 1710, 1660. NMR (400 MHz): 6.35 (br. OH); 2.7 (dd, H–C(4) of **16**); 2.6 (dd, H–C(4) of **15**); 2.48–2.4 (m, CH₃CH₂ and H–C(5) of **16**); 2.4–2.32 (m, H– C(5) of **15**); 2.08 (dd, H'–C(4) of **15**); 2.01 (s, CH₃–C(3)); 1.88–1.76, 1.58–1.36 (m, CH₃CH₂ of **15**); 1.2 (d, CH₃–C(5)); 1.16 (t, CH₃CH₂ of **15**); 0.95 (t, CH₃CH₂ of **15**). MS: 140 (80, *M*⁺), 125 (26), 112 (100), 94 (100), 83 (28), 69 (30), 55 (72), 41 (71).

Methyl 2-(Methoxyacetyl)-5-oxohexanoate (19). To the soln. of 73 g (0.5 mol) of methyl 4methoxyacetoacetate (6), 38 g (0.55 mol) of methyl vinyl ketone in 250 ml of CHCl₃, 50.5 g (0.5 mol) of (i-Pr)₂NH were added at 0–20°. After the addition, the mixture was stirred at 40° for 1 h, evaporated, and distilled. The product 19 was distilled (130–135°/0.15 Torr); 34 g (32%). IR (CHCl₃): 1745, 1720. NMR: 4.1 (*s*, 2 H); 3.7, 3.45 (2*s*, 2 MeO); 3.6–3.4 (*t*, H–C(2)); 2.1 (*s*, 3 H–C(6)); 2.7–1.8 (*m*, 2 CH₂). MS: 216 (1, *M*⁺), 184 (18), 171 (95), 139 (100), 113 (19), 45 (90), 43 (60).

2-Methoxy-3-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one (21). 10.8 g (50 mmol) of 19 were refluxed during 90 min in 100 ml (2.5%) of aq. Na_2CO_3 soln. After the usual workup 5.1 g (73%) of crude 21 were isolated, which distilled at 98–101° at 20 Torr; 4.4 g (63%). IR (film): 1680, 1625. NMR: 3.66 (s, MeO); 1.93 (s, CH₃–C(3)); 2.7–1.7 (m, 6 H). MS: 140 (100, M^+), 125 (22), 110 (20), 95 (33), 82 (44), 69 (48), 55 (32), 41 (64).

2-Hydroxy-3-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one (23). 2.8 g (20 mmol) of 21 were refluxed in 20 ml $5_{\rm N}$ HCl during 9 h. Usual workup gave 1.7 g (67%) of crude 23, which was purified by bulbto-bulb distillation (*ca.* 50°/0.05 Torr) to give 1.36 g (54%) of white crystalls. M.p. 55–60°. IR (CHCl₃): 3450, 1670, 1640. NMR: 6.12 (*s.*, OH); 1.95 (*s.*, CH₃); 2.7–1.8 (*m.*, 6 H). MS: 126 (100, *M*⁺), 108 (15), 97 (25), 83 (55), 70 (42), 55 (61).

Methyl 2-(Methoxyacetyl)-2-methyl-5-oxohexanoate (**20**). To the mixture of 16 g (0.1 mol) of methyl 4-methoxy-2-methylacetoacetate (**18**), 1.4 g (0.01 mol) of K₂CO₃, 0.4 g (2 mmol) of TEBA in 100 ml of MeCN, 10.5 g (0.15 mol) of methyl vinyl ketone has been added slowly (30 min). The mixture was poured on ice-cold 1N HCl and extracted with AcOEt. The usual workup gave 22.05 g (96%) of crude **20** of high purity, which was used as such. IR (film): 3500 (weak, traces of H₂O); 1740, 1720. NMR: 4.12 (*s*, -O-CH₂); 3.75, 3.38 (2*s*, 2 MeO); 2.14 (*s*, 3H-C(6)); 1.37 (*s*, CH₃-C(2)). MS: 230 (2, *M*⁺), 185 (22), 160 (20), 155 (13), 125 (33), 115 (25), 98 (35), 43 (100).

2-Methoxy-3,6-dimethylcyclohex-2-en-1-one (22). Analogously to the transformation $19 \rightarrow 21$, 22 was prepared from 20 in 77% distilled yield. B.p. 131°/20 Torr. IR (film): 1675, 1635. NMR: 3.62 (*s*, MeO); 1.9 (*s*, CH₃-C(3)); 2.7-1.5 (*m*, 5 H); 1.15 (*d*, CH₃-C(6)). MS: 154 (100, *M*⁺), 139 (33), 124 (15), 109 (39), 96 (53), 79 (44), 69 (91), 55 (38).

2-Hydroxy-3,6-dimethylcyclohex-2-en-1-one (24). Analogous procedure to 23. 72% crude was recrystallized from Et_2O /hexane (1:1 to give white crystalls in 62% yield. M.p. 62–63°. Sublimation at 40°/0.05 Torr furnished white crystalls in 97% yield. M.p. 64–65°. IR (CHCl₃): 3450, 1680, 1645. NMR: 6.1 (*s*, OH); 2.7–1.5 (*m*, 5 H); 1.92 (br. *s*, CH₃–C(3)); 1.39 (*d*, CH₃–C(6)). MS: 140 (57, *M*⁺), 111 (100), 97 (33), 70 (44), 55 (36).

Received: July 20, 1992

- [1] Presented in part at the meeting of the Swiss Chemical Society, Bern, October 10, 1986 by *H.W.*
- [2] Present address: Schweizer Getränke AG, CH-8706 Meilen.
- [3] L. Re, B. Maurer, G. Ohloff, Helv. Chim. Acta 1973, 56, 1882.
- [4] U. Huber, Perf. Flav. 1992, 17, 15.
- [5] a) D.T. Le Blanc, H.A. Akers, Food Technol. 1989, April, 78; b) A.O. Pittet, P. Rittersbacher, R. Muralidhara, J. Agric. Food Chem. 1970, 18, 929.
- [6] A. Kobayashi, in 'Flavor Chemistry', Eds. R. Teranishi, R.G. Buttery, and F. Shahidi, Am. Chem. Soc. Washington, 1989, p. 49.
- [7] G.M. Strunz, J. Agric. Food Chem. 1983, 31, 185.
- [8] USP 4892 966 (priority CH 20.11.1985), to *Givaudan*.
- [9] H. Wild, Chem. Ind. 1988, 580.

- [10] J. Meyerfeld, Chem. Ztg. 1912, 36, 549; Chem. Abstr. 1912, 6, 2406.
- [11] C.A. Rojahn, F. Rühl, Arch. Pharm. 1926, 264, 211; Chem. Abstr. 1926, 20, 2484.
- [12] a) M.A. Gianturco, P. Friedel, *Tetrahedron* 1963, 19, 2039; b) M.A. Gianturco, A.S. Giammarino, R.G. Pitcher, *ibid.* 1963, 19, 2051.
- [13] V.J. Filipic, J.C. Underwood, C.O. Willits, J. Food Sci. 1965, 30, 1008.
- [14] M.A. Gianturco, A.S. Giammarino, P. Friedel, *Nature (London)* 1966, 210, 1358.
- [15] O. Nishimura, S. Mihara, J. Agric. Food Chem. 1990, 36, 1138.
- [16] J. Arnarp, C.R. Enzell, K. Peterson, T. Petterson, Acta Chem. Scand., Ser. B 1986, 40, 839.
- [17] K. Niemela, Carbohydr. Res. 1988, 184, 131.
- [18] J. Arnarp, B.-M. Dahlin, C.R. Enzell, T. Petterson, G. Weidemann, Acta. Chem. Scand. 1991, 45, 105.
- [19] P.E. Shaw, J.H. Tatum, R.E. Berry, J. Agric. Food Chem. 1968, 16, 979.
- [20] 21 has been prepared through a similar but different route: E. Wenkert, N.F. Golob, S.S. Sathe, R.A.I. Smith, Synth. Commun. 1973, 3, 205.
- [21] For 21 see also a) A.A. Ponaras, Md.Y. Meah, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1986, 27, 4953; b)
 P. Yates, G. Langford, *Can. J. Chem.* 1981, 59, 344.
- [22] H. Stetter, W. Schlenker, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1980, 21, 3479.
- [23] T. Shono, Y. Matsumura, H. Hamaguchi, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1977, 712.