

ical number of compounds which are to be made in the particular library. Because the final product of this library is a discrete array, biological screening of individual compounds of known structure can provide an SAR profile of the complete library. The development of READ/WRITE strategies which further improve the efficiency of this approach are currently underway and will be reported in due course.

### Experimental Procedure

The tea bags were apportioned and scanned as described in text and Fig. 1. a) Each of the four Fmoc-amino acids (4.59 mmol), DIC (4.59 mmol), and HOBt (3.44 mmol) were dissolved in THF (10 ml) individually and stirred for 20 min, filtered, and the filtrate added to 1.275 g of Wang resin (*Advanced Chemtech*, 0.9 mmol/g) in tea bags containing the transponders (16/flask). After 18 h of gentle stirring, the resin was washed with DMF, MeOH, and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The tea bags were immersed in 20% piperidine/DMF for 30 min and then rinsed with MeOH and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, then scanned and sorted to four new reaction vessels. b) To each reaction vessel was added 15 ml of MeOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1:1), one of four aldehydes (15 mmol), *p*-hydroxyphenylacetic acid (15 mmol), and benzyl isocyanide (15 mmol). The resin was gently stirred for 12 h, then rinsed repeatedly with MeOH and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and sorted to four new reaction vessels. c) To each of the reaction vessels was added the acid (14 mmol) followed by DCC (15 mmol) in 15 ml of pyridine. After 18 h, the resin was rinsed with DMF (50°), then MeOH and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. d) The tea bags were scanned and sorted into individual wells of a polypropylene microtiter plate (96 well, 2 ml/well). A soln. (1 ml) of 20% TFA/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was added to each well and let stand for 20 min. The tea bags were removed and rinsed with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and the solvents stripped *in vacuo*.

This research was supported by NIH grant GM-20080.

Received: May 10, 1996

- [1] M. Gallop, R. Barrett, W. Dower, S. Fodor, E. Gordon, *J. Med. Chem.* **1994**, *37*, 1233; E. Gordon, R. Barrett, W. Dower, S. Fodor, M. Gallop, *ibid.* **1994**, *37*, 1385; S. Blondelle, E. Perezpaya, C. Dooley, C. Pinilla, R. Houghten, *Trac-Trends Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *14*, 83; M. Lebel, V. Krchnak, N. Sepetov, B. Seligmann, P. Strop, S. Felder, K. Lam, *Biopolymers* **1995**, *37*, 177; K. Janda, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1994**, *91*, 10779; W. Moos, G. Green, M. Pavia, *Ann. Rep. Med. Chem.* **1993**, *28*, 315.
- [2] H. Geysen, R. Meloen, S. Barteling, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1984**, *81*, 3998; A. Gray, R. Balerio, A. Dispasquale, J. Greig, N. Maeji, *J. Pept. Sci.* **1995**, *1*, 80; S. Dewitt, J. Kiely, C. Stnakovic, M. Schroeder, D. Cody, M. Pavia, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1993**, *90*, 6909.
- [3] C. Holmes, C. Adams, L. Kochersperger, R. Mortensen, L. Aldwin, *Biopolymers* **1995**, *37*, 199; S. Fodor, J. Read, M. Pirrung, L. Stryer, A. Lu, D. Solas, *Science* **1991**, *251*, 767.
- [4] F. Sebestyén, G. Dibo, A. Kovacs, A. Furka, *Bio Med. Chem. Lett.* **1993**, *3*, 413; K. Lam, S. Salmon, E. Hersh, V. Hruby, W. Kazmeierski, R. Knapp, *Nature* **1992**, *360*, 768; A. Furka, F. Sebestyén, M. Asgedom, G. Dibo, *Int. J. Pept. Protein Res.* **1991**, *37*, 487; R. Houghten, C. Pinilla, S. Blondelle, J. Appel, C. Dooley, J. Cuervo, *Nature (London)* **1991**, *354*, 84; K. Lam, S. Salmon, E. Hersh, V. Hruby, W. Dazmierski, R. Knapp, *ibid.* **1991**, *354*, 82.
- [5] C. Dooley, N. Chung, B. Wilkes, P. Schiller, J. Bidlack, G. Pasternak, R. Houghten, *Science* **1994**, *266*, 2019.
- [6] S. Brenner, R. Lerner, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1992**, *89*, 5381; J. Kerr, S. Banville, R. Zuchermann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 2529; M. Ohlmeyer, R. Swanson, L. Dillard, J. Reader, G. Asouline, R. Kobayashi, M. Wigler, W. Still, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1993**, *90*, 10922.
- [7] a) U.S. Pat. No. 5,252,962, *Bio Medic Data Systems, Inc.*, Maywood, N. J.; b) U.S. Pat. No. 5,351,052, *Texas Instruments, Inc.*, Austin, TX.
- [8] Implantable Micro Identification (IMI) systems, Bio Medic Data Systems, Inc. Maywood, N.J. Transponders were scanned using a DAS-4004 Pocket scanner and the ID's can be downloaded to a Mac or PC.
- [9] Transponders were scanned using a DAS-4004 Pocket scanner.
- [10] S.-S. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1973**, *95*, 1328; G. Lu, S. Mojsov, J. Tam, R. Merrifield, *J. Org. Chem.* **1981**, *46*, 3433.
- [11] Tea bags can be combined such that compound mixtures of known composition can be screened.
- [12] Yields were obtained from the weight of individual products in library after removal from resin. They are based on initial loading of polymer as described by manufacturer and represent the overall yield for the five steps shown in the *Scheme*. Low-resolution electrospray MS was obtained for all compounds. Full characterization (HRMS, <sup>13</sup>C- and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, IR) of several members (A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>3</sub>) was obtained: A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 50%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 45%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 49%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 39%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 55%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 53%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 64%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 76%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 49%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 51%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 61%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 67%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 50%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 50%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 57%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 40%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 44%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 44%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 52%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 56%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 40%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 43%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 52%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 55%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 43%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 36%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 42%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 48%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 40%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 37%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 44%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 57%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 65%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 68%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 73%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 60%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 30%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 64%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 69%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 76%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 54%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 55%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 58%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 30%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 55%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 58%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 64%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 66%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 61%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 61%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 71%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 72%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 55%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 56%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 57%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 61%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 47%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 46%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 47%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 54%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 55%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 49%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 59%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 45%.

*Chimia* 50 (1996) 260–261  
© Neue Schweizerische Chemische Gesellschaft  
ISSN 0009–4293

## The Solid-Phase Synthesis of Complex Small Molecules

Jonathan A. Ellman\*

The design, synthesis, and evaluation of small-molecule libraries currently being performed in our laboratory is over-viewed. We consider a number of factors in the selection of a compound class for library synthesis. One strategy that we have employed is to select 'privileged'

structures, where the display of different functionality upon the structure has previously provided a number of potent and specific drugs or candidates towards different therapeutic targets. The first class of 'privileged' structures that we focused on were the 1,4-benzodiazepines, one of the

most prescribed classes of orally active drugs that target a wide range of different receptors and enzymes. Other examples include libraries based upon tropane, prostaglandin, and tricyclic frameworks. An alternative strategy that we have employed is to design compound classes based on important biological recognition motifs. One example where we have applied this strategy is the synthesis of libraries of mimetics of  $\beta$ -turns, which play a key role in molecular recognition events in biological systems. A second example is the

\*Correspondence: Prof. J.A. Ellman  
College of Chemistry  
University of California Berkeley  
Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

ical number of compounds which are to be made in the particular library. Because the final product of this library is a discrete array, biological screening of individual compounds of known structure can provide an SAR profile of the complete library. The development of READ/WRITE strategies which further improve the efficiency of this approach are currently underway and will be reported in due course.

### Experimental Procedure

The tea bags were apportioned and scanned as described in text and Fig. 1. a) Each of the four Fmoc-amino acids (4.59 mmol), DIC (4.59 mmol), and HOBt (3.44 mmol) were dissolved in THF (10 ml) individually and stirred for 20 min, filtered, and the filtrate added to 1.275 g of Wang resin (*Advanced Chemtech*, 0.9 mmol/g) in tea bags containing the transponders (16/flask). After 18 h of gentle stirring, the resin was washed with DMF, MeOH, and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The tea bags were immersed in 20% piperidine/DMF for 30 min and then rinsed with MeOH and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, then scanned and sorted to four new reaction vessels. b) To each reaction vessel was added 15 ml of MeOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1:1), one of four aldehydes (15 mmol), *p*-hydroxyphenylacetic acid (15 mmol), and benzyl isocyanide (15 mmol). The resin was gently stirred for 12 h, then rinsed repeatedly with MeOH and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and sorted to four new reaction vessels. c) To each of the reaction vessels was added the acid (14 mmol) followed by DCC (15 mmol) in 15 ml of pyridine. After 18 h, the resin was rinsed with DMF (50°), then MeOH and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. d) The tea bags were scanned and sorted into individual wells of a polypropylene microtiter plate (96 well, 2 ml/well). A soln. (1 ml) of 20% TFA/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was added to each well and let stand for 20 min. The tea bags were removed and rinsed with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and the solvents stripped *in vacuo*.

This research was supported by NIH grant GM-20080.

Received: May 10, 1996

- [1] M. Gallop, R. Barrett, W. Dower, S. Fodor, E. Gordon, *J. Med. Chem.* **1994**, *37*, 1233; E. Gordon, R. Barrett, W. Dower, S. Fodor, M. Gallop, *ibid.* **1994**, *37*, 1385; S. Blondelle, E. Perezpaya, C. Dooley, C. Pinilla, R. Houghten, *Trac-Trends Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *14*, 83; M. Lebel, V. Krchnak, N. Sepetov, B. Seligmann, P. Strop, S. Felder, K. Lam, *Biopolymers* **1995**, *37*, 177; K. Janda, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1994**, *91*, 10779; W. Moos, G. Green, M. Pavia, *Ann. Rep. Med. Chem.* **1993**, *28*, 315.
- [2] H. Geysen, R. Meloen, S. Barteling, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1984**, *81*, 3998; A. Gray, R. Balerio, A. Dispasquale, J. Greig, N. Maeji, *J. Pept. Sci.* **1995**, *1*, 80; S. Dewitt, J. Kiely, C. Stnakovic, M. Schroeder, D. Cody, M. Pavia, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1993**, *90*, 6909.
- [3] C. Holmes, C. Adams, L. Kochersperger, R. Mortensen, L. Aldwin, *Biopolymers* **1995**, *37*, 199; S. Fodor, J. Read, M. Pirrung, L. Stryer, A. Lu, D. Solas, *Science* **1991**, *251*, 767.
- [4] F. Sebestyén, G. Dibo, A. Kovacs, A. Furka, *Bio Med. Chem. Lett.* **1993**, *3*, 413; K. Lam, S. Salmon, E. Hersh, V. Hruby, W. Kazmeierski, R. Knapp, *Nature* **1992**, *360*, 768; A. Furka, F. Sebestyén, M. Asgedom, G. Dibo, *Int. J. Pept. Protein Res.* **1991**, *37*, 487; R. Houghten, C. Pinilla, S. Blondelle, J. Appel, C. Dooley, J. Cuervo, *Nature (London)* **1991**, *354*, 84; K. Lam, S. Salmon, E. Hersh, V. Hruby, W. Dazmierski, R. Knapp, *ibid.* **1991**, *354*, 82.
- [5] C. Dooley, N. Chung, B. Wilkes, P. Schiller, J. Bidlack, G. Pasternak, R. Houghten, *Science* **1994**, *266*, 2019.
- [6] S. Brenner, R. Lerner, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1992**, *89*, 5381; J. Kerr, S. Banville, R. Zuchermann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 2529; M. Ohlmeyer, R. Swanson, L. Dillard, J. Reader, G. Asouline, R. Kobayashi, M. Wigler, W. Still, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1993**, *90*, 10922.
- [7] a) U.S. Pat. No. 5,252,962, *Bio Medic Data Systems, Inc.*, Maywood, N. J.; b) U.S. Pat. No. 5,351,052, *Texas Instruments, Inc.*, Austin, TX.
- [8] Implantable Micro Identification (IMI) systems, Bio Medic Data Systems, Inc. Maywood, N.J. Transponders were scanned using a DAS-4004 Pocket scanner and the ID's can be downloaded to a Mac or PC.
- [9] Transponders were scanned using a DAS-4004 Pocket scanner.
- [10] S.-S. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1973**, *95*, 1328; G. Lu, S. Mojsov, J. Tam, R. Merrifield, *J. Org. Chem.* **1981**, *46*, 3433.
- [11] Tea bags can be combined such that compound mixtures of known composition can be screened.
- [12] Yields were obtained from the weight of individual products in library after removal from resin. They are based on initial loading of polymer as described by manufacturer and represent the overall yield for the five steps shown in the *Scheme*. Low-resolution electrospray MS was obtained for all compounds. Full characterization (HRMS, <sup>13</sup>C- and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, IR) of several members (A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>3</sub>) was obtained: A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 50%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 45%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 49%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 39%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 55%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 53%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 64%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 76%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 49%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 51%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 61%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 67%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 50%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 50%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 57%; A<sub>1</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 40%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 44%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 44%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 52%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 56%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 40%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 43%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 52%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 55%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 43%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 36%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 42%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 48%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 40%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 37%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 44%; A<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 57%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 65%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 68%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 73%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 60%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 30%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 64%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 69%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 76%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 54%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 55%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 58%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 30%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 55%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 58%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 64%; A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 66%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 61%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 61%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 71%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>1</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 72%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 55%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 56%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 57%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 61%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 47%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 46%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 47%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 54%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>1</sub>, 55%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub>, 49%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>3</sub>, 59%; A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>4</sub>C<sub>4</sub>, 45%.

*Chimia* 50 (1996) 260–261  
© Neue Schweizerische Chemische Gesellschaft  
ISSN 0009–4293

## The Solid-Phase Synthesis of Complex Small Molecules

Jonathan A. Ellman\*

The design, synthesis, and evaluation of small-molecule libraries currently being performed in our laboratory is over-viewed. We consider a number of factors in the selection of a compound class for library synthesis. One strategy that we have employed is to select 'privileged'

structures, where the display of different functionality upon the structure has previously provided a number of potent and specific drugs or candidates towards different therapeutic targets. The first class of 'privileged' structures that we focused on were the 1,4-benzodiazepines, one of the

most prescribed classes of orally active drugs that target a wide range of different receptors and enzymes. Other examples include libraries based upon tropane, prostaglandin, and tricyclic frameworks. An alternative strategy that we have employed is to design compound classes based on important biological recognition motifs. One example where we have applied this strategy is the synthesis of libraries of mimetics of  $\beta$ -turns, which play a key role in molecular recognition events in biological systems. A second example is the

\*Correspondence: Prof. J.A. Ellman  
College of Chemistry  
University of California Berkeley  
Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

synthesis of libraries of compounds that are based on an isostere that mimics the tetrahedral intermediate for peptide hydrolysis as catalyzed by the therapeutically important aspartic-acid class of proteases.

For each library synthesis project the synthesis approach is designed to achieve three goals: 1) Several different building-block sets should be incorporated to provide rapid access to a large number of diverse compounds. 2) The chemistry should be compatible with the display of as much functionality as possible including reactive functionality that is commonly found in drugs such as alcohols, phenols, indoles, carboxylic acids, amides, nitriles, nitro groups, and halides. 3) The building blocks used in the synthesis of the library should be commercially available or at least readily accessible to facilitate rapid library synthesis. A considerable number of synthesis methods are explored in order

to achieve these goals. Two main areas of focus are C–C-bond forming processes and linkage strategies for attaching compounds to the solid support. Some of the solid-phase chemistry that is developed is based upon analogous chemistry in solution; however, much of chemistry that is developed does not have a current solution-phase counterpart.

According to the above strategies and goals we have generated libraries of thousands to tens of thousands of compounds. Library synthesis is performed in a microtiter plate format either using the *Chiron Mimotope* pin method initially developed by *Geysen* for peptide epitope mapping or by analogous bead-based approaches. Evaluation of these compound libraries has led to the identification of numerous ligands to different receptor targets to serve as tools to probe receptor function. Selected examples include the identification

of benzodiazepine-based inhibitors of pp60<sup>src</sup> tyrosine kinase, which is implicated in cancer, in collaboration with *Victor Levine* at the *M.D. Anderson Cancer Institute*, benzodiazepine-based ligands to DNA-binding autoantibodies implicated in the autoimmune disease systemic *lupus erythematosus* which are currently being evaluated in mouse models of the disease in collaboration with *Gary Glick* at the University of Michigan, and hydroxyethylamine isostere-based nonpeptide inhibitors of cathepsin D with low nanomolar *Ki* values.

Received: May 15, 1996

- [1] J.A. Ellman, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1996**, *29*, 132.
- [2] N.J. Maeji, R.M. Valerio, A.M. Bray, R.A. Campbell, H.M. Geysen, *Reactive Polym.* **1994**, *22*, 203.
- [3] H.M. Geysen, S.J. Rodda, T.J. Mason, G. Tribbick, P.G. Schoofs, *J. Immunol. Methods* **1987**, *102*, 259.

*Chimia* 50 (1996) 261–266  
© Neue Schweizerische Chemische Gesellschaft  
ISSN 0009–4293

## The Solid-Phase Part of Supported Small-Molecule Synthesis

Mark J. Kurth\*

**Abstract.** The synthesis of small molecules on solid phase must not only address the vagaries of C–C-bond formation and functional-group manipulation, but must also take into account solid-support issues such as ‘point of attachment’, ‘resin compatibility’, ‘reagent accessibility’, and ‘product liberation’. Hence, the resin plays a vital role in the solid-phase venture and the polymer advantages can be summarized as reactions can be driven to completion by addition of excess solution-phase reagents, reaction products are ‘isolated’ by filtration and washing, and multiple-step synthesis terminating with a ‘selective’ liberation step can deliver essentially pure product. These issues, as well as a number of strategies for the preparation and functionalization of resin supports, are discussed.

### Introduction

Adapting solution-phase organic reactions to solid-phase techniques is one of the important challenges embraced by the burgeoning field of small-molecule combinatorial chemistry. In a solid-phase arena, strategic synthetic planning must not only address the vagaries of C–C-bond formation and functional-group manipulation, but must also take into account solid-support issues such as ‘point of attachment’, ‘resin compatibility’, ‘reagent accessibility’, and ‘product liberation’.

### The Polymer Advantage

The pioneering small-molecule solid-phase work of *Leznoff* [1] and the more recent efforts of many academic and industrial chemists [2] have established three principal synthetic advantages of solid-phase techniques (*i.e.*, the *polymer advantage*): *i*) many solid-phase reactions can be driven to completion by addition of excess solution-phase reagents (removed by filtration and washing), *ii*) solid-phase reaction products are ‘isolated’ by filtration and washing, and *iii*) multiple-step

synthesis terminating with a ‘selective’ liberation step can deliver essentially pure product.

Collectively, these advantages suggest that the solid-support can play a much broader role in solid-phase chemistry than merely that of an inert matrix. When appropriately designed, its implications can be far reaching and can elevate solid-phase techniques to exceptional synthetic advantage. Consider the *sequent-auxiliary* concept [3] outlined in *Scheme 1* where a polymer-bound chiral auxiliary (AUX) is called upon to mediate a diastereoselective C $\alpha$ -alkylation reaction in *Step 1* and a diastereoselective iodolactonization reaction in *Step 2*. Thus, in addition to embracing the *polymer advantage*, there is significantly improved synthetic economy and atom efficiency in that the chiral auxiliary is called upon to mediate two diastereoselective transformations, and the chiral auxiliary can be recovered by simple filtration.

To effect this strategy, C<sub>2</sub>-symmetric pyrrolidine-based auxiliary **1** was attached to *Merrifield* resin (® = polystyrene) and the remaining OH group blocked by benzylation to give the pseudo-C<sub>2</sub>-symmetric auxiliary **2**. AUX-Mediated C $\alpha$ -alkylation (93.5:6.5 diastereoselectivity) followed by AUX-mediated iodolactonization (>99:1 diastereoselectivity) delivered targeted lactone **4** (R = CH<sub>3</sub>) essentially

\*Correspondence: Prof. M.J. Kurth  
Department of Chemistry  
University of California, Davis  
Davis, California 95616, USA

synthesis of libraries of compounds that are based on an isostere that mimics the tetrahedral intermediate for peptide hydrolysis as catalyzed by the therapeutically important aspartic-acid class of proteases.

For each library synthesis project the synthesis approach is designed to achieve three goals: 1) Several different building-block sets should be incorporated to provide rapid access to a large number of diverse compounds. 2) The chemistry should be compatible with the display of as much functionality as possible including reactive functionality that is commonly found in drugs such as alcohols, phenols, indoles, carboxylic acids, amides, nitriles, nitro groups, and halides. 3) The building blocks used in the synthesis of the library should be commercially available or at least readily accessible to facilitate rapid library synthesis. A considerable number of synthesis methods are explored in order

to achieve these goals. Two main areas of focus are C–C-bond forming processes and linkage strategies for attaching compounds to the solid support. Some of the solid-phase chemistry that is developed is based upon analogous chemistry in solution; however, much of chemistry that is developed does not have a current solution-phase counterpart.

According to the above strategies and goals we have generated libraries of thousands to tens of thousands of compounds. Library synthesis is performed in a microtiter plate format either using the *Chiron Mimotope* pin method initially developed by *Geysen* for peptide epitope mapping or by analogous bead-based approaches. Evaluation of these compound libraries has led to the identification of numerous ligands to different receptor targets to serve as tools to probe receptor function. Selected examples include the identification

of benzodiazepine-based inhibitors of pp60<sup>src</sup> tyrosine kinase, which is implicated in cancer, in collaboration with *Victor Levine* at the *M.D. Anderson Cancer Institute*, benzodiazepine-based ligands to DNA-binding autoantibodies implicated in the autoimmune disease systemic *lupus erythematosus* which are currently being evaluated in mouse models of the disease in collaboration with *Gary Glick* at the University of Michigan, and hydroxyethylamine isostere-based nonpeptide inhibitors of cathepsin D with low nanomolar *Ki* values.

Received: May 15, 1996

- [1] J.A. Ellman, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1996**, *29*, 132.
- [2] N.J. Maeji, R.M. Valerio, A.M. Bray, R.A. Campbell, H.M. Geysen, *Reactive Polym.* **1994**, *22*, 203.
- [3] H.M. Geysen, S.J. Rodda, T.J. Mason, G. Tribbick, P.G. Schoofs, *J. Immunol. Methods* **1987**, *102*, 259.

*Chimia* 50 (1996) 261–266  
© Neue Schweizerische Chemische Gesellschaft  
ISSN 0009–4293

## The Solid-Phase Part of Supported Small-Molecule Synthesis

Mark J. Kurth\*

**Abstract.** The synthesis of small molecules on solid phase must not only address the vagaries of C–C-bond formation and functional-group manipulation, but must also take into account solid-support issues such as ‘point of attachment’, ‘resin compatibility’, ‘reagent accessibility’, and ‘product liberation’. Hence, the resin plays a vital role in the solid-phase venture and the polymer advantages can be summarized as reactions can be driven to completion by addition of excess solution-phase reagents, reaction products are ‘isolated’ by filtration and washing, and multiple-step synthesis terminating with a ‘selective’ liberation step can deliver essentially pure product. These issues, as well as a number of strategies for the preparation and functionalization of resin supports, are discussed.

### Introduction

Adapting solution-phase organic reactions to solid-phase techniques is one of the important challenges embraced by the burgeoning field of small-molecule combinatorial chemistry. In a solid-phase arena, strategic synthetic planning must not only address the vagaries of C–C-bond formation and functional-group manipulation, but must also take into account solid-support issues such as ‘point of attachment’, ‘resin compatibility’, ‘reagent accessibility’, and ‘product liberation’.

### The Polymer Advantage

The pioneering small-molecule solid-phase work of *Leznoff* [1] and the more recent efforts of many academic and industrial chemists [2] have established three principal synthetic advantages of solid-phase techniques (*i.e.*, the *polymer advantage*): *i*) many solid-phase reactions can be driven to completion by addition of excess solution-phase reagents (removed by filtration and washing), *ii*) solid-phase reaction products are ‘isolated’ by filtration and washing, and *iii*) multiple-step

synthesis terminating with a ‘selective’ liberation step can deliver essentially pure product.

Collectively, these advantages suggest that the solid-support can play a much broader role in solid-phase chemistry than merely that of an inert matrix. When appropriately designed, its implications can be far reaching and can elevate solid-phase techniques to exceptional synthetic advantage. Consider the *sequent-auxiliary* concept [3] outlined in *Scheme 1* where a polymer-bound chiral auxiliary (AUX) is called upon to mediate a diastereoselective C $\alpha$ -alkylation reaction in *Step 1* and a diastereoselective iodolactonization reaction in *Step 2*. Thus, in addition to embracing the *polymer advantage*, there is significantly improved synthetic economy and atom efficiency in that the chiral auxiliary is called upon to mediate two diastereoselective transformations, and the chiral auxiliary can be recovered by simple filtration.

To effect this strategy, C<sub>2</sub>-symmetric pyrrolidine-based auxiliary **1** was attached to *Merrifield* resin (® = polystyrene) and the remaining OH group blocked by benzylation to give the pseudo-C<sub>2</sub>-symmetric auxiliary **2**. AUX-Mediated C $\alpha$ -alkylation (93.5:6.5 diastereoselectivity) followed by AUX-mediated iodolactonization (>99:1 diastereoselectivity) delivered targeted lactone **4** (R = CH<sub>3</sub>) essentially

\*Correspondence: Prof. M.J. Kurth  
Department of Chemistry  
University of California, Davis  
Davis, California 95616, USA