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Abstract: This short review highlights the role of reaction engineering as a tool for bioprocess development. Selected examples are discussed that demonstrate the need to understand thermodynamic and kinetic properties of the reaction system in order to identify potential bottlenecks. For coupled enzyme systems and reaction cascades modelling as well as selection of suitable reactor configurations is discussed. For the problem of overcoming product inhibition examples are given, followed by selected examples for in situ product removal. Finally, two reactor concepts for oxidation reactions requiring oxygen are briefly presented.
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1. Introduction

Over the last four decades biocatalysts have paved their way into many areas of industrial production processes as well as become valuable tools in lab synthesis. The utilization of such molecules range from high value added pharmaceuticals over ingredients for food technology to commodities such as acrylamide. There is a vast number of reviews and research articles describing the progress over the years. Table 1 lists a selection starting from an early one written by George M. Whitesides and Chi-Hey Wong, who belong to the pioneers introducing enzyme catalysis into organic synthesis. Others have been written by well-known scientists in this area such as Christian Wandrey, Roger Sheldon, Uwe Bornscheuer, Nick Turner or Pedro Lozano, to name just a few. A number of books has been published as well. Kurt Faber’s textbook ‘Biotransformations in Organic Synthesis’ just appeared in its seventh edition.[10]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whitesides, Wong</td>
<td>Enzymes as catalyst in organic chemistry</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmid, Dordick,… Witholt</td>
<td>Industrial biocatalysis</td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liese, Seelbach, Wandrey</td>
<td>Industrial biotransformations</td>
<td>[3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bornscheuer, Huismann,…Robins</td>
<td>Third wave of biocatalysis</td>
<td>[4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wenda, Illner, Mell, Kragl</td>
<td>Industrial biotechnology</td>
<td>[5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hönig, Sondermann, Turner, Carreira</td>
<td>Retrosynthesis and biocatalysis</td>
<td>[6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrea, Ottolina, Riva</td>
<td>Organic solvents and enzyme selectivity</td>
<td>[7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheldon, Woodley</td>
<td>Biocatalysis in sustainable chemistry</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villa, Alvarez…Lozano</td>
<td>Ionic liquids and enzyme catalysis</td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Selected reviews on the potential of biocatalysis

Progress has been made over the years in many areas. Novel enzymes were identified first by conventional screening methods and then later by the tools of genetic engineering, rational design and directed evolution, for which Francis H. Arnold was awarded the Nobel price in 2018.[11] However, the biocatalyst – either an enzyme or a whole cell – is only part of the whole bioprocess. Already Whitesides and Wong stated in their review: “We note explicitly that the area of enzymatic catalysis in organic synthesis is, as are most areas of practical catalysis, fundamentally a process chemistry.”[11]
when all aspects are considered can a successful process be implemented. Catalyst development, reaction engineering and unit operations together will result in cost effective and scalable processes. The earlier the different aspects and their interplay are considered the better.

Removing a special buffer salt needed for enzyme stabilization at a later stage may become difficult. Removal of enzymes either as soluble macromolecules by membrane filtration or immobilized on a support has never really been an issue, even in continuously operated reactors. Enzyme stability may be improved by modern methods of directed evolution. Together with cost-effective fermentation technologies the product-specific catalyst costs are nowadays not necessarily the limiting factor. The low substrate and as a result low product concentration may be a challenge, not only when water is used as reaction medium. Molecular organic solvents as well as novel types such as ionic liquids have been successfully introduced in the last decades as well. As in all catalytic processes the equilibrium conversion and therefore the yield which can be reached, is limited by the thermodynamics of the reaction. Only a careful analysis of thermodynamic and kinetic properties of the reaction system will reveal possible bottlenecks. To overcome these limitations, in situ product removal has been introduced as one possible tool. Despite many interesting examples this is still an area of intensive research and many interesting approaches.

In the following section several examples are given, where available tools of reaction engineering have been used to improve the process remarkably. A detailed guidebook including basics in enzyme kinetics as well as basics of reactor types such as the batch reactor, continuously operated stirred tank reactor or plug flow reactor was published in 2002,[12] following a reduced version in 1999.[13]

2. Examples

2.1 Enzyme Cascades and Side Reactions

Multi-enzyme cascades have been developed as a tool to overcome the need for isolation of intermediates, to regenerate cofactors or to shift equilibria by further converting an unwanted side product.[14] Early examples are the enzyme- or substrate-coupled regeneration of redox cofactors[15] or the two-step enzymatic synthesis of N-acetylneuraminic acid from cheap N-acetylglucosamine, the monomer of chitin.[16] For all such systems the amount of the individual enzymes has to be determined carefully in order to reach high yields and productivities on one hand, but to minimize the product-specific enzyme costs on the other. The situation is more complex as the enzyme activity has to be considered under operating conditions, which might be different from activity measurement. These are normally performed under initial rate conditions and in the absence of products.[12] Several examples by Wandrey and coworkers address the enzyme-coupled cofactor regeneration of NADH+H+. Also, reduction of the amount of the expensive cofactor as well as of the enzymes is possible on the basis of a kinetic model of the whole reaction system including comparison of different reactor setups.[15]

For the two-step enzymatic synthesis of N-acetylneuraminic acid, a model describing the complete reaction course was developed based on detailed kinetic investigations. Operating windows were calculated for various enzyme ratios and levels of the starting materials. The optimization took also into account the requirements of downstream processing, catalyst consumption and reaction time. As a result, a fed-batch process was implemented that increased the volumetric productivity two-fold reaching about 24 g/(Lxd).[17]

Another early example is the suppression of parallel or consecutive reactions making use of different concentration profiles in batch or plug flow reactors on one hand and a continuously operated stirred tank reactor at the other hand. An early and still impressive example is the suppression of a non-specific side reaction yielding the racemate in the enzymatic addition of hydrogen cyanide to aldehydes and ketones. With simultaneous retention of the enzyme by an ultrafiltration membrane (R)-mandelonitrile could be produced at a volumetric productivity of 24 kg/(Lxd) with an enantiomeric excess of >99%.[18]

More recently the use of reaction compartments limiting the available substrate concentration have been described. However, for a scale-up the resulting mass transfer limitations have to be studied in more detail.[19] The enzymatic synthesis of GDP-Man is a further example where a continuously operated reactor offers advantages over simple batch systems. Starting with a single continuously operated stirred tank reactor (CSTR) the problem of strongly changing enzyme activity with varying substrate concentrations could be solved.[20] The strong product inhibition could be partly overcome by applying a two-stage CSTR-cascade. By this the product specific enzyme consumption could be reduced to 0.9 U/gprotein, which is only 15% of that in a batch reactor and 45% of that of a single CSTR. The soluble enzyme was retained by an ultrafiltration membrane.

The last example discussed here is the dynamic kinetic resolution of amino acid derivatives. The in situ racemization is achieved via an organo-catalyst, whereas a protease is performing the kinetic resolution.[21] Simple modelling was used to optimize the ratio of the catalysts and to get insight into deactivation scenarios of the two catalysts. In addition, different reactor configurations were investigated.

2.2 Integrated Product Removal

Enzyme catalysis is often prone to product inhibition. In very severe cases this may make a reaction useless especially when aiming at higher product concentrations. One option now available is the use of directed evolution or other tools to modify the enzyme itself as outlined in the introduction. Applying other tools of reaction engineering such as integrated product removal offer additional benefits as it could shift non-favorable equilibrium positions. This area is gaining increasing attention as reaction systems are developed where not the biocatalytic step is limiting but
the thermodynamic equilibrium. Examples have been reviewed recently.[23] For systems with different solubility of substrates and products there are many examples in literature. Co-workers from Eli Lilly used a polystyrene resin to adsorb the product in the ste- reoselective reduction of ketones using a whole cell biocatalyst.[24] The hydrophobic resin at the same time also acted as substrate res- ervoir for the hydrophobic substrate. Thus, the biocatalysts only saw a very low saturation concentration in the aqueous system well below 5 mmol/L. Due to the size of the cells and the resin an easy separation is possible. The overall productivity in a 300 L reactor was about 75 g/L(xd). Especially lipases can be very easily used with aqueous/organic two-phase systems. For kinetic reso- lution of ethyl 2-hydroxy-4-phenylbutyrate a membrane reactor was developed for continuous removal of the strongly inhibiting product.[24] The reaction is performed in a heptane/buffer emul- sion. The water phase is continuously removed by means of a hydrophilic ultrafiltration membrane lowering the concentration of the inhibiting acid well below 100 mmol/L. As a result, the time to reach full conversion could be reduced down to 30% resulting in a volumetric productivity up to 275 g/L(xd).

These examples demonstrate possible approaches when the solubility of the reactants shows large differences in different solvents. This might become more difficult when water-soluble compounds are part of the reaction system or all reactants show only limited solubility. For the later case, Liese and co-workers recently described the use of a reactive rectification for a kinetic resolution.[25] The enzyme is immobilized in catalytic packings in a column. The equilibrium of the transesterification reaction is shifted to the product side by removal of the low boiling (S)-2-pentanol as target product.

For compounds that are easily soluble in water either reactive extraction or in situ crystallization might be suitable approaches. For the already discussed synthesis of N-acetyliminodiacetic acid a reactive extraction with trioctylmethylammonium chloride was developed.[26] However, the selectivity between the carbohydrates in solution was not very high. Simulations showed that a batch process with continuous removal of the organic phase is feasible, but the productivity of the whole reaction system could be only slightly improved. More success was reported for the application of in situ product crystallization in biocatalytic processes.[27] Options are the direct product crystallization due to its low solu- bility, or the addition of an additive for an induced in situ pro- duct crystallization. The latter has been successfully introduced for shifting the reaction equilibria of selective transaminase-cata- lyzed reactions.[28] For a range of tested substrates, the yield was typically between 4 and 37%, even with a two-fold excess of the donor amine. By addition of 3,3-diphenylpropionic acid for in situ product crystallization the yield of the formed chiral amines could be raised three- to four-fold to well over 70%, without any loss of the perfect enantiorecognition of the transaminase.

2.3 Oxygen Transfer

The last two examples deal with tools for oxygenation of en- zymatic reactions. However, the same tools and reactor concepts might be used for delivery or removal of other gases as well. In the first example a bubble-free aeration through a silicone tube is achieved.[29] Laccases can be used for a range of interesting reac- tion ranging from wastewater treatment to C-N-heterocoupling. Oxygen is needed as oxidation reagent and can be delivered through a thin-walled silicon tubing avoiding enzyme deactiva- tion at gas–liquid interfaces caused by conventional bubbling of air into a solution. Together with a simple kinetic model, different reactor configurations and the required aeration rate and therefore tube length could be calculated. Very high oxygen transfer rates can be achieved in micro-structured reactors.[30] For the oxida- tion of glucose with glucose oxidase a falling film microreactor was used. Compared to a conventional bubble column the oxygen transfer rate could be increased almost 100-fold yielding in k, a values of about 20500 1/h. Together with a very short residence time of about 26 seconds a very high volumetric productivity of 18.7 kg/(Lxd) was achieved at 30% conversion.

3. Conclusions

Nowadays it seems possible to design new biocatalysts for more or less any given reaction, at the latest since the pioneer- ing work of Frances Arnold and others. However, to go from the synthesis of a few milligram to a gram- or even kilogram-scale synthesis, other toolboxes and a thorough understanding of the reaction system are required. Otherwise possible bottlenecks will not be identified. Several years ago, in addition to the well- known principles of green chemistry published by Anastas and Warner,[31] Neil Winterton asked in his “twelve more green chem- istry principles” to “establish a full mass balance for process”, to “investigate basic thermochemistry” and to “consult a chemi- cal or process engineer”. Of course, this holds for all types of (catalytic) reactions. But it also requires that some of the basic knowledge should be taught in this context to make a potential user aware what she or he may accomplish using the right tools together with a novel biocatalyst from the very beginning.
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