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Abstract: Presented here is an electrochemical three-electrode Gas Diffusion Electrode (GDE) cell tailored for operando and in situ investigations of electrocatalytic processes, with a particular focus on X-ray scattering studies. The optimized cell is engineered to accommodate the minimal sample-detector distances requisite for comprehensive X-ray total scattering investigations. An in-depth understanding of catalytic processes requires their study under ‘working’ conditions. Configured as a flow-cell, the setup therefore enables the examination of electrocatalysts under high current densities and associated gas evolution phenomena, particularly pertinent for reactions like the oxygen evolution reaction (OER). Notably, its transparency simplifies cell alignment, troubleshooting, and facilitates scans through the catalyst layer, crucial for background corrections. Demonstrating its versatility, we showcase its utility through Small Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS), X-ray Diffraction (XRD), and X-ray Pair Distribution Function (PDF) analyses of total scattering data.

Keywords: Electrocatalysis · Gas diffusion electrode · High current density · Operando studies

Gustav K. H. Wiberg, originally from Sweden, is an electrochemist. He received his PhD in 2010 from Technical University of Munich, studying fuel cell reactions as well as exploring new electrochemical setup designs. After postdoc positions at Argonne National laboratory, USA, and University of Copenhagen, he joined the University of Bern in 2020. His main research interest focuses on electrochemical reaction for energy conversions under operando condition.

Rebecca Pittkowski is a tenure track assistant professor at the Department of Chemistry at the University of Copenhagen. She studied Chemistry (BSc and MSc) at TU Dresden. In her PhD, she worked with Petr Krtil at the J. Heyrovsky Institute of Physical Chemistry, Czech Academy of Sciences, in Prague. After graduating in 2020, she was a postdoc at the University of Bern and the University of Copenhagen, where she started her own group in September 2023. Her research concerns nanoparticle electrocatalysis and focuses on operando studies of electrocatalysts using synchrotron X-ray absorption spectroscopy and X-ray scattering techniques.

Stefanie Punke completed her BSc in physics at the University of Heidelberg, Germany, and the University of Bergen, Norway. Later, she started her MSc in nanoscience at the University of Copenhagen and joined the groups of Kirsten M. Ø. Jensen and Matthias Arenz for her integrated MSc and PhD program. Her primary research interest is in investigating electrocatalytic processes in operando through the application of X-ray scattering techniques.

Olivia Aalling-Frederiksen is a postdoctoral researcher at the University of Copenhagen, from where she also obtained her PhD degree in chemistry in 2024. She did her PhD degree under supervision of Kirsten M. Ø. Jensen. Her research concerns structural characterization of disordered oxide materials, and she investigates both synthesis-structure and structure-property relations. She is especially interested in applying Pair Distribution Function analysis in in situ and operando studies.

Kirsten M. Ø. Jensen is an associate professor of chemistry at University of Copenhagen, where she specialises in solid state materials chemistry. She obtained her PhD from Aarhus University in 2013. After a two-year postdoctoral fellowship at Columbia University, she returned to Denmark to start her independent research at the University of Copenhagen in 2015. The research in her group concerns structural characterization of materials using X-ray scattering methods with a special focus on X-ray total scattering for nanomaterial characterization.
Matthias Arenz studied Physics in Bonn and pursued his Diploma and PhD work in Physical Chemistry specializing in Surface Electrochemistry. During his PhD he spent 7 months at the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) where he was introduced to Electro catalysis. After completing his PhD in Bonn in 2002, he rejoined the LBNL for 2 years with a Feodor Lynen scholarship from the A. v. Humboldt foundation. In 2006 he was awarded an Emmy Noether Scholarship and started his independent research group at TU-Munich. In 2010 he was appointed Associate Professor at the University of Copenhagen and in 2016 Full Professor at the University of Bern, Switzerland.

1. Introduction

The transition towards renewable energy and abundant feedstocks requires, among others, the advancement of electrocatalysts. A notable example lies in the large-scale production of green hydrogen derived from water splitting through renewable energy sources. Green hydrogen can be used as a chemical feedstock but also for long-term energy storage. Even though the precious and scarce metals Ir and Pt are required for (acidic) water splitting, the cost of large-scale green hydrogen production predominantly depends on electric energy prices and not those of the metal precursors. On the other hand, their abundance may limit scalability of the technology.\[1\] Equally important, however, the catalysts employed in this process, particularly the Ir-based OER catalysts, significantly determine its energy efficiency and consequently the cost of green hydrogen. Hence, there is a crucial demand for highly active electrocatalysts that also exhibit reasonable abundance and stability. It is only when all three criteria are met that we can effectively address the so-called terawatt challenge of large-scale renewable energy use.\[2,3\]

Improved electrocatalysts can be developed by trial-and-error approaches, or by design through fundamental and applied research. The typical workflow involves synthesizing the catalysts, evaluating their performance, and characterizing them. In fundamental research, significant efforts have been directed toward achieving performance evaluations that can be effectively translated to ‘real-world devices’. Notably, this led to the development of gas diffusion electrode (GDE) setups in fuel cell research,\[4–7\] which serve to bridge the gap between rotating disk electrode (RDE) measurements\[8\] and the characterization of membrane electrode assemblies (MEAs)\[9\] - the fundamental units of fuel cells or electrolyzers. In GDE setups thick catalyst films instead of thin films are studied and high current densities are achieved. Some designs interface the catalyst and liquid-electrolyte reservoir with a membrane electrolyte and even allow the operation at elevated temperature and pressure as is typical for MEAs.\[10\] Furthermore, recent efforts in our group led to their application in electrolysis reactions such as the OER.\[11,12\] Most catalyst characterization, however, is still performed on the as-synthesized material under ambient or inert conditions. The thus determined catalyst structure is then compared with the catalyst performance, i.e. under electrocatalytic conditions. This approach neglects the fact that the catalyst often exhibits a substantially different structure during the reaction than when observed under ambient or inert conditions. In other words, the ‘real catalyst’ only exists under reactive conditions. Such derived structure-activity relationships therefore must be critically assessed for their limitations. For this reason, in situ and operando studies become increasingly popular. They typically require substantially more effort than the above-mentioned ex situ studies, and often the use of large-scale facilities such as synchrotrons. Nevertheless, they are essential for developing an in-depth understanding of electrocatalysis.

One distinguishes between ‘in situ’ study when the electrocatalyst is investigated in its ‘natural’ environment, and ‘operando’ study when in addition the reaction rate is monitored. Several studies discuss and demonstrate the merits of in situ and operando approaches in electrocatalysis, and here we only highlight the recently published review by Magnussen and Drnec et al.\[13\] In the current work, we present the results of our efforts to translate the design of GDE setups to allow in situ and operando studies with a focus on the application of scattering techniques. First, we discuss the design criteria of our cell and present its layout, and second, we demonstrate its first application for two selected examples, i.e. the study of an oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) catalyst and the study of an OER catalyst.

1.1 Electrochemical Cells for Operando and In Situ Scattering Studies

In literature, several cell designs for operando and in situ measurements have been introduced. Two examples of designs that have been used by our research team are the ‘PSI design’ introduced by Binninger et al.\[14\] and the ‘ESRF design’ introduced by Martens et al.\[15\] These cells are suitable for operando and in situ X-ray absorption as well as X-ray scattering. However, they are not optimized for extremely close sample-detector distances required for total scattering for Pair Distribution Function analysis. In addition, in the ‘PSI design’ gas bubble formation can lead to an interruption of the cell contact due to the thin layer design. Nevertheless, the new cell design presented here is based on our extensive experience working with these cells\[16–20\] and is understood as an advancement of these designs. Further cell designs for operando and in situ studies in electrocatalysis can be found in refs. [13,21–24] and the references therein.

1.2 Cell Design Criteria

The primary objectives of our new cell design were to address the following critical considerations for operando and in situ scattering studies:

- **Sample complementarity with ‘standard GDE measurements’**: In the new design, it is ensured that identical samples as used in our conventional GDE setup can be implemented in the cell. The catalyst loading is the same as the one typically used in GDE measurements, and the sample can be easily cut from the prepared GDE layers.

- **Achieving high current densities for gas-consuming and gas-evolving reactions**: We designed a three-electrode cell with a large electrolyte volume (Fig. 1), clear separation of the working electrode (WE), reference electrode (RE), and counter electrode (CE) to minimize cross-contamination and disturbances from gas bubble formation (note that at high current densities, typically gas bubble formation at the CE becomes a problem even though gas consuming reactions are investigated at the WE). Furthermore, the iR and cell resistances are minimized due to an integrated Luggin capillary and compact design. Additionally, the horizontal geometry facilitates the release of gas bubbles at the WE and CE.

- **Optimized X-ray geometry**: We employed an in-plane geometry for X-ray analysis to maximize the cross-section and facilitate the monitoring of the catalyst layer at various depths with respect to the catalyst-electrolyte interface. Furthermore, we reduced the beam pathway travelled through the electrolyte and cell body materials to minimize interference and optimize background subtraction.

- **Optimized X-ray detection**: The angular opening was maximized, and the cell-detector distance minimized to maximize the Q-space range for total scattering and improve data quality.

- **Transparent and resilient materials**: Utilizing a cell body material that is as transparent to X-rays as possible. Transparency to visible light facilitates trouble shooting, e.g. the
identify the gas bubbles in the cell. We used polysulfone (PSU) as cell material as it is also resistant to acidic and alkaline environments.

- **Ease of assembly and mounting**: The cell is designed to facilitate assembly and mounting under challenging circumstances, such as working late nights as is common at synchrotron beamtimes.

### 2. Examples of Experiments Performed with the New Cell Design

To demonstrate the applicability of the newly designed cell, we have performed electrochemical operando X-ray scattering experiments of both gas-consuming and gas-evolving reactions. In gas-consuming reactions such as the ORR, high current densities can only be achieved if the gas transport through the liquid, e.g. the electrolyte, is avoided or limited. In classic ORR RDE measurements, the achieved current densities are only a few mA cm\(^{-2}\). In gas-consuming reactions such as the ORR, high current densities can only be achieved if the gas transport through the liquid, e.g. the electrolyte, is avoided or limited. In contrast, up to a few A cm\(^{-2}\) can be achieved in GDE and MEA configurations. As the catalyst structure might also change during operando measurements, background subtraction of the individual components is especially important. Subtraction of the individual components is especially important.

### 2.1 Experimental Details

The electrochemical cell is run in a three-electrode set-up with the working electrode (WE), a platinum counter electrode (CE), and a leakless Ag/AgCl (eDAQ) reference electrode (RE). The working electrodes were prepared by vacuum filtration of the respective catalyst inks onto a gas diffusion layer (GDL) coated with a C-based microporous layer (MPL) (Freudenberg H23C8, Fuel Cell Store). The electrode of the Pt/C commercial catalyst (Tanaka, TEC10E50E-HT) was prepared with a nominal loading of 200 µg cm\(^{-2}\) via vacuum filtration and was measured with 0.1 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) (Suprapur) in the upper compartment. No membrane electrolyte was separating the catalyst layer and liquid electrolyte. The NiFe LDH catalyst was prepared following a literature procedure. The NiFe LDH working electrode was prepared with a nominal loading of 400 µg cm\(^{-2}\) and was measured in 0.5 M KOH (Suprapur).

X-ray scattering data was collected in two experiments at two different beamlines: at ID31 at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF) in Grenoble, France, and at the DanMAX beamline at the MAX IV synchrotron in Lund, Sweden. At the ESRF the X-ray energy was 75 keV while at MAX IV it was 35 keV. In all experiments, the beam was microfocused to achieve a vertical beam size of less than 10 µm. This allows height scans (z-scans) through the catalyst layer, to obtain scattering data of both the electrochemical cell, the electrolyte, and the carbon support, to allow for background subtraction. The scattering data was collected as 2D images on Dectris Pilatus3 X CdTe 2M detectors. The 2D data were azimuthally integrated with the PyFAI software. All X-ray scattering data were collected during potential holds.

Rietveld refinement of the X-ray diffraction data was performed with the GSAS-II software package. A model of a Pt fcc phase (Fd-3m, 225) was fitted to the experimental data. Isotropic models were used for the domain size, microstrain, and thermal displacement parameter \(U_{\text{iso}}\). The instrumental resolution parameters for peak broadening were determined from a CeO\(_2\) reference standard.

For SAXS data analysis, the cell and the carbon background were subtracted to isolate the Pt scattering signal from the other contributions. The background-subtracted SAXS data were fitted over a q-range of 0.03-0.5 Å\(^{-1}\) with a polydisperse spherical model. The size distribution is lognormal and number-weighted. SAXS data were analyzed with the SAS View software package (SasView. https://sasview.github.io/).

The collected X-ray total scattering data was Fourier transformed to obtain the PDFs using xPDFsuite. For the data reduction \(Q_{\text{min}} = 2\) Å\(^{-1}\), \(Q_{\text{max}} = 19.5\) Å\(^{-1}\), \(Q_{\text{maxinst}} = 26\) Å\(^{-1}\) and \(r_{\text{poly}} = 0.9\) Å were used. A Pt model was fitted to the PDF data using the PDFgui software. The background signal from the cell, the electrolyte, and the carbon background were subtracted from the data in Q-space. The parameters \(Q_{\text{damp}}\) and \(Q_{\text{broad}}\) were determined from the CeO\(_2\) reference. A Pt fcc structural model was fitted to the data to determine the lattice parameter \(a\), the atomic displacement parameter \(U_{\text{iso}}\), and a nanoparticle size using a spherical shape factor (Sp diameter).

### 2.2 Studying Oxygen Reduction Reaction Catalysts

We have used a commercial Pt/C catalyst, with an average particle size of 4-5 nm, as a reference catalyst to conduct operando X-ray scattering experiments during the ORR. The catalyst was deposited on a GDL/MPL to function as a GDE, and the cell was operated in self-breathing mode (oxygen reaches the catalyst from the atmosphere via diffusion) with 0.5 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) as the electrolyte in the upper reservoir. The electrolyte reservoir was continuously refreshed using a two-channel peristaltic pump. Scattering data was collected at different potential holds, starting from OCP, holding at 0.9 V vs RHE and 0.4 V vs RHE. At each potential, we acquired SAXS, XRD, and PDF data. The catalyst layer was scanned across in height with a microfocused beam, and in Fig. 2 the diffraction data collected at different z-locations in the cell are shown. With this set of scattering data, background subtraction of the carbon and electrolyte components is achieved. Background subtraction of the individual components is especially important.
Studying Oxygen Evolution Reaction Catalysts

To demonstrate the capabilities of the cell for gas-evolving reactions, we have collected data from a NiFe-layered double hydroxide (LDH) sample as an OER catalyst in the newly developed cell. NiFe LDH is a state-of-the-art catalyst for OER in alkaline media and is known to undergo structural changes under reaction conditions. We applied a series of potential holds (1.4 V to 1.7 V vs RHE) and collected X-ray diffraction data at each potential step. Total scattering data for PDF analysis were collected separately at different experiments. The obtained total scattering data and PDFs are shown in Fig. 4. Both in the XRD and PDF, structural changes are apparent when the potential and hence the OER current is increased.

An advantage of the cell design with the catalyst layer in horizontal orientation is that bubbles from evolving gases can easily escape in an upward direction. This allows for much higher current densities in the cell compared to cells that use thin electrolyte films with the working electrode in a vertical direction. In the example shown below OER current densities of 35 mA cm\(^{-2}\) could be maintained over the prolonged measurement time required for total scattering.

3. Conclusions

We introduced here a new electrochemical cell design tailored for operando X-ray scattering experiments implementing a 3-electrode GDE design. The cell underwent rigorous testing under operando conditions at two different synchrotrons, enabling the comprehensive characterization of ORR and OER catalysts. The design yielded high-quality XRD, SAXS, and PDF data even under conditions of high current densities. The horizontal layout of the WE effectively managed vigorous bubble formation without compromising data quality. Additionally, the in-plane X-ray geometry probed with a microfocused beam enabled the recording of essential background data, facilitating comprehensive structural analysis.

Computer files from the cell drawings can be obtained from the authors upon request. Supplementary information is available here.
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Fig. 4. Top panel: Total scattering data from the NiFe LDH catalyst collected during potential holds, going from 1.4 V vs RHE to 1.7 V vs RHE. Current densities measured for the respective potentials are included in the figure. Bottom panel: PDF data obtained from X-ray total scattering data for the NiFe LDH catalysts collected during the potential hold series with a zoom-in (right) into the local range of the PDF.
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